1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 MARIA LAFEVER 11 12 Plaintiff(s), No. C10-01782 BZ 13 v. ACOSTA, INC., a Delaware 14 Closed Corporation, also SECOND DISCOVERY ORDER 15 d/b/a ACOSTA TRUEDEMAND, LLC; and also d/b/a ACOSTA MILITARY SALES, LLC; and DOES 1 through 20, 17 inclusive. 18 Defendant(s).

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On March 16, 2011, I ordered whichever party had a record of the meet and confer session between the parties at which they attempted to resolve the current discovery dispute, to lodge a copy of that record with the Court by noon on March 18, 2011. All the Court received was a series of positioning letters and e-mails between the parties. The Court deduces from that that the parties never met and conferred as required by paragraph 2 of the Pretrial Scheduling Order (Docket No. 30).

Case 3:10-cv-01782-BZ Document 54 Filed 03/21/11 Page 2 of 2

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the telephone conference
2	scheduled for Wednesday, March 23, 2011 at 4:00 p.m. is taken
3	off calendar. The Court will not attend to this matter until
4	the parties have met and conferred in accordance with the
5	Pretrial Scheduling Order.
6	Dated: March 21, 2011
7	Bernard Zimmerman
8	United States Magistrate Judge
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

g:\bzall\-bzcases\LaFever v. Acosta\Disc Ord 2.wpd